Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/552,377	FISHLER, THEODOR MOREL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SARAH PIHONAK	1627
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>SARAH PIHONAK</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>KEVIN MCCARTHY</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 June 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative) Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes Mo If Yes, provide a brief description: Mo No		
/S. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 1627 (A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

A proposed examiner's amendment was discussed with Atty. Kevin McCarthy. It was also discussed that withdrawn method claims 21-23 would potentially be rejoined, if they were commensurate in scope with the allowable product claims. An examiner's amendment was approved by Atty. McCarthy on behalf of the Applicant, and claims 21-23, as amended, were rejoined with the allowable product claims 1, 3-5, 9-11, and 13-20.